



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,385	12/30/1999	HENRY T. TSUEI	06042-0170	7756

7590

09/27/2002

JOHN R HARRIS  
MORRIS MANNING & MARTIN LLP  
1600 ATLANTA FINANCIAL CENTER  
3343 PEACHTREE ROAD NE  
ATLANTA, GA 30326

EXAMINER

AKERS, GEOFFREY R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3624

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/57685

Applicant(s)

Tvei

Examiner

Akers, G

Group Art Unit

3624

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

## Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/30/99
- ☐ This action is FINAL.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- ☐ Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
  - ☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_
  - ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1.7.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

## Attachment(s)

- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 58
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☒ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Office Action Summary

Page 9

Art Unit: 3624

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-31 have been examined.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Payne(US Pat. No: 6,449,599) in view of Fujimoto(US Pat. No: 6,411,942).
4. As per claims 1-31 Payne teaches a computer-implementable method for providing a consumer-to consumer payment service(Abstract)(Fig 1)(Figs 2A-I)(Figs 3A/B)(Fig 4A/B) and receiving from a first computer a registration of a payment instrument by a buyer(Fig 1/12) and receiving from a second computer a registration of a disbursement instrument by a seller(Fig 1/14) and receiving from the first remote computer a command from the buyer to pay the seller an amount of money in exchange for an item(Fig 1/16). Payne teaches shipping products to the buyer computer authorized to purchase the product(col 2 lines 14-23).Payne teaches graphical user interfaces which comprise Web pages for communiation(Fig 5)(Fig 6)(Fig 7)(Fig 10)(Fig 12-14) as well as the use of an electronic invoice(Fig 9)(Fig 11). Fujimoto teaches settling transactions(Fig 5/S7) as well as transferring funds to the seller(col 8 lines 16-23)(col 7 line 67-col 8 line 3).Fujimoto teaches a paying agent in common with the buyer and seller(Fig 4) or

Art Unit: 3624

owned by an entity operating the payment service(col 6 lines 27-45).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Palyne in view of Fujimoto to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a method to consummate a financial transaction system with verified funds as enunciated by Fujimoto(col 4 lines 8-14).

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101*

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 11, 30 are further rejected under 35 USC 101 for failing to provide functionality and a concrete, useful and tangible result.

\*\*\*\*\*

7. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

GRA

September 24, 2002